

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1440 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 8781 051252-5175-01 08/22/2003 Michael P. Dallmeyer 10/645,777 EXAMINER 04/07/2004 9629 7590 BASTIANELLI, JOHN MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WASHINGTON, DC 20004

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_i^^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V - (
Office Action Summary	10/645,777	DALLMEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Bastianelli	3754	 -
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a pply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15			
20)	nis action is non-final.		to io
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 19-21 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		:	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			104(1)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(a). Sa
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attacr	led Office Action of form PTO-13)Z.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received ir riority documents have be	Application No	e
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		ot received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (1 10-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/22/03. 	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/645,777

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner does not understand why this application is filed as a divisional when a restriction/election was never made in the parent application. It appears that the applicant meant it to be a continuation.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Foreign Patent Documents and Other Documents were not in the parent application 09/750,336. Please provide.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 20 recites the limitation " the at least one radial facing surface " in the third line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baxter et al. US 5,937,887.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,777

Art Unit: 3754

Nally discloses a valve group assembly having a tube assembly 12 having an inlet tube with a face, a seat 40 having an opening at the second end of the tube assembly, an armature assembly 22 having an armature face, a member 20 biasing the armature assembly towards the seat, an adjusting tube 14 in the tube assembly, the adjusting tube engaging the member and adjusting the bias force, a filter assembly 16 in the tube assembly engaging the member and adjusting the bias force, a first attaching portion, a coil group subassembly having a solenoid coil 48, a second attaching portion, inserting the valve group into the coil group, and connecting the attaching portions together. Crush ring 43 is disposed within the tube assembly proximate the seat. The method is seen as practiced by the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 20, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nally et al. US 5,544,816 in view of Linkner, Jr. et al. US 5,895,026.

Nally lacks masking and hardening. Linkner discloses masking and hardening. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mask the radial facing surface and harden the armature face of Nally as disclosed by Linkner in order to provide a harder surface.

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hosie and Dare disclose crush rings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-0058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

April 2, 2004